THE BEAUTIFUL GAME?

Alan Ring *explains how stadiums can take control of security — from match-day mayhem to management*

I t only takes seconds for chaos to erupt in a stadium. A last-minute goal ignites celebrations that spill over into the stands. A questionable referee decision sparks outrage, triggering clashes between rival fans and potentially endangering the match officials. Security teams scramble to respond, but in a sea of thousands, identifying individuals, de-escalating tensions and ensuring player and supporter safety quickly becomes a logistical nightmare. When the dust settles, the challenge shifts to understanding what went wrong, who was responsible and how to prevent it from happening again.

The speed and scale of these incidents expose the shortcomings of traditional security measures. Camera blind spots, overwhelmed personnel and unreliable witness accounts hinder investigations — making it difficult to hold individuals accountable. Meanwhile, social media captures and amplifies every misstep as they happen — providing multiple narratives of incidents and heightening situations. This puts immense pressure

on stadium operators to demonstrate control, ensure they have a clear and accurate understanding of events and prevent future disruptions. This creates the perfect storm where incidents can spiral out of control, damaging reputations, eroding public trust and leaving venues scrambling for solutions.

Security in modern stadiums is a global issue. Whether it's a domestic or an international competition, these venues regularly have tens of thousands of fans packed into an enclosed space, many with heightened emotions fuelled by the thrill of competition. Not to mention any alcohol consumption. All of these factors can push tensions to the limit.

But it's not just crowd control that security teams are dealing with. They also play a role in protecting players, managing emergency evacuations and meeting the growing legal scrutiny placed on stadium operators.

Take the Euro 2020 final at Wembley Stadium, for example. What should have been a historic sporting moment was marred by chaos as thousands of ticketless fans stormed the gates, overwhelming security personnel and breaching barriers meant to protect attendees. The result? Injuries, vandalism and

Continuous monitoring allows security to identify bad actors early, preventing potential threats from escalating and improving overall safety.

widespread disorder that not only endangered fans and staff, but also left a lasting stain on the stadium's reputation. In the aftermath, event organisers faced intense scrutiny, with calls for stricter security protocols and better crowd management strategies. This incident is just one of many that illustrate the sheer scale of the challenge that stadiums face.

CCTV has long been a cornerstone of stadium security, providing wide-area surveillance across stands, concourses, and entry points. However, fixed cameras lack mobility, creating blind spots and often failing to capture critical moments from the right angles. Without audio, CCTV footage also misses key contextual elements — verbal threats, escalating tensions and the moments that could help prevent violence before it starts.

Security personnel frequently rely on witness accounts to reconstruct incidents, but human memory is often flawed — especially in chaotic situations. Fans, staff and even trained security officers may recall events differently due to personal bias, adrenaline or obstructed views.

Conflicting statements make it difficult to establish the facts, especially when visual evidence from technology like CCTV is missing or limited, delaying disciplinary actions and legal proceedings. Without clear, verifiable evidence, disputes can escalate, legal proceedings can drag on and wrongdoers may even evade accountability.

Even when CCTV footage and witness statements are available, post-incident reports can still be inconsistent. Differences in vantage points, training and personal interpretation often lead to discrepancies that complicate legal and liability cases. Missing details can weaken arguments in court, making it harder to enforce bans, pursue criminal charges or defend against liability claims. Without a standardised approach to incident documentation, stadium operators risk not only financial losses but also reputational damage.

In response to events, such as the 2020 Euros final, the UK's Culture, Media and Sport Committee conducted an inquiry into safety at major sporting events. The report highlighted concerns over the 'sports ground exemption,' which allows stewards at sporting events to operate without the training required for licensed security officers. This exemption raises questions about the preparedness and effectiveness of stewards in managing large crowds and preventing security breaches.

In such busy and high-stakes environments, security cannot afford to be reactive. Video evidence isn't just useful — it's essential for ensuring accountability, protecting against liability, and refining future response strategies. Failing to provide the real-time situational awareness and detailed evidence needed to manage and prevent incidents effectively leaves security teams vulnerable — unable to respond with confidence, mitigate risks proactively or defend their actions when scrutiny arises.

Body-worn cameras (BWCs) offer a game-changing solution by providing security personnel with an invaluable first-person perspective, capturing incidents exactly as they unfold. Unlike static CCTV, BWCs record up-close interactions with both video and audio, ensuring a clear, indisputable record of events. This direct line of sight strengthens legal cases, provides support in the resolution of disputes and reinforces accountability.

BWCs also extend the security perimeter beyond the stadium itself, the need for security doesn't stop at the gates. Fan zones, concourses, walk-ups and entry queues are all high-risk areas where incidents can occur, from crowd surges and altercations to unauthorised access and ticket disputes. By implementing security measures that can move with the crowds, event organisers can ensure security teams have full visibility in key areas, capturing real-time evidence that can help de-escalate situations.

Perhaps just as importantly, BWCs also act as a deterrent. When fans and staff know they're being recorded 'up close and personal', they're more likely to moderate their behaviour, reducing the risk of confrontations spiralling out of control. A study conducted in UK railway stations demonstrated that assaults against staff decreased by 47 percent following the implementation of BWCs. These cameras don't just help after an incident; they actively prevent altercations by making individuals think twice before acting aggressively.

SECURITY BLIND SPOTS OFTEN ARISE WHEN SYSTEMS OPERATE IN COMPLETE ISOLATION

Beyond enforcement, BWCs are an invaluable tool for training and performance improvement purposes. Reviewing recorded footage from previous incidents allows security teams to assess their responses, refine protocols and identify areas for improvement. This continuous learning approach results in a more prepared, efficient and coordinated security force — one that can learn from previous interactions and respond more decisively to emerging threats.

Additionally, body-worn cameras play a crucial role in ensuring accountability among security personnel themselves. Allegations of discrimination or excessive force have led to legal battles and reputational damage for stadium operators. Having objective video evidence protects both security teams and spectators, reducing false claims and reinforcing trust in security operations.

Yet, for all their benefits, body-worn cameras alone are not enough. To truly transform stadium security, they must be part of a broader, integrated, tech-driven security ecosystem — one that combines real-time intelligence, advanced analytics and seamless coordination across all security assets.

Deploying body-worn cameras without a centralised digital asset management strategy is like installing CCTV without a control room — the footage exists, but without the ability to access, analyse and act on it in real time, its full potential is lost. Security teams need more than just raw video; they need an integrated system that centralises, secures and streamlines evidence management.

One of the most significant advantages of a centralised platform is real-time situational awareness. Live footage from body-worn cameras can be streamed directly to command centres, allowing security teams to monitor incidents as they unfold and deploy personnel accordingly. This is particularly critical in large venues where delays in response time can mean the difference between containment and escalation. Additionally, panic buttons and incident flagging allow guards to instantly request backup, improving safety and response times in high-risk situations. The real-time upload enables the incident management team to move to high alert situations immediately, similar to a live stream, providing a rapid and co-ordinated response. This continuous monitoring also allows security to identify bad actors early, preventing potential threats from escalating and improving overall safety.

Beyond real-time monitoring, a centralised system ensures that recorded footage is securely stored and easily retrievable when needed. Without structured evidence management, stadium operators risk losing critical footage,

facing legal disputes over missing or tampered-with video, and struggling to comply with insurance or regulatory requirements. A cloud-based platform eliminates these risks by providing tamper-proof storage and automated metadata tagging, recording essential details like time, location and any personnel involved. Automatic upload to the cloud further streamlines the process, removing the need for personnel to return cameras to a central location at the end of a shift to dock and download footage. This seamless transfer ensures that video evidence is instantly available, reducing administrative burdens and improving operational efficiency. This chain of custody remains intact, meaning footage is verifiable and legally admissible.

SECURITY CANNOT AFFORD TO BE REACTIVE IN SUCH BUSY AND HIGH-STAKES ENVIRONMENTS

Security footage plays a crucial role in post-incident investigations and legal proceedings. Whether verifying the cause of a stadium brawl, defending security personnel against false claims or providing evidence for insurance claims, clear and authenticated video can mean the difference between swift resolution and drawn-out legal battles. Instead of relying on fragmented, manually retrieved footage, a centralised system allows security teams to quickly access relevant video, integrate additional evidence such as witness statements and build comprehensive case reports — strengthening legal outcomes and reinforcing public trust in stadium security.

Modern stadiums are high-tech environments, and security must evolve alongside them. Artificial intelligence is already transforming stadium safety by enabling real-time threat detection. AI-powered analytics can monitor BWC and CCTV feeds, identifying unusual crowd movements, detecting aggressive behaviour and flagging escalating tensions before they erupt into full-scale incidents.

Facial recognition technology adds another layer of security, helping enforce stadium bans and preventing known troublemakers from gaining entry. Integrated with BWCs and access control systems, this technology allows security personnel to verify identities quickly, preventing security breaches and ensuring compliance with venue policies.

Security blind spots often arise when systems operate in isolation. A truly effective security strategy requires full integration between BWCs, CCTV, access control and incident reporting platforms, creating a seamless security ecosystem where all technologies work together. For example, a security guard's BWC can be linked to nearby CCTV feeds, allowing command centres to gain a multi-angle view of an unfolding incident. Likewise, if a flagged individual attempts to enter, their identity can trigger an instant alert across all security checkpoints, ensuring a coordinated and immediate response.

Many stadiums take a reactive approach to security, adding new technologies in response to incidents rather than implementing a more proactive and long-term strategy. This patchwork approach creates inefficiencies, compatibility issues and increased costs over time. Not to mention potential risks for players, spectators and staff. Instead, stadium operators must invest in scalable security solutions that adapt to emerging threats and seamlessly integrate with existing infrastructure.

When it comes to stadium security, the risks of outdated, reactive and inefficient security models are too great to ignore. Body-worn cameras are a critical tool and when combined with real-time monitoring, central oversight and secure data management, they provide security teams with the situational awareness needed to respond with confidence and precision.

By implementing a unified video management platform, stadiums can ensure operational efficiency, legal protection and, most importantly, the safety of everyone inside the venue. AI-driven analytics, real-time incident detection and seamless coordination between security teams can mean the difference between controlled disruptions and full-scale emergencies. Fans expect a safe, enjoyable experience, and venues have a responsibility to deliver. The industry has evolved — stadium security must evolve with it ●

Alan Ring, is CEO at HALOS.

Fixed CCTV cameras lack mobility, create blind spots and often failing to capture critical moments from the right angles